

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/584,640		05/31/2000	Jee Loon Look	0630/0G528	4278
25291	7590	03/26/2002			
WYETH			EXAMINER		
=	RALDA FARMS DN, NJ 07940			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3751	
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		$i\mathcal{M}_{i}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/584,640	LOOK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J. Walczak	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		AONTH/S\ EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>13 <i>March</i> 2002</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 51-54</u> is/are per	nding in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 51-54</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction fil		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of						
	y documents have been received.					
	y documents have been received in					
3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Inte * See the attached detailed Office act	s of the priority documents have bee rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) ion for a list of the certified copies no					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign I 15) Acknowledgment is made of a clain	anguage provisional application has n for domestic priority under 35 U.S.0	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The subject matter in new claims 51-54 does not have antecedent basis in the specification. Further, in regard to claims 1 and 12, the recitation of the interior wall being substantially smooth does not have antecedent basis in the specification. While the claimed structure appears to be present in the drawings, the claim language must also be present in the detailed description of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-13 and 51 –54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation "and retractable" is considered to be new matter and must be deleted from the claims.

Claims 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In regard to claims 51 and 53, an antecedent basis for "the surface area of the first composition" and "the surface area of the second composition" should be defined.

Art Unit: 3751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13 and 51-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tibbitts in view of Prince. In regard to claims 1, 3, 4, 5 and 12, Tibbitts discloses a lipstick product 20 comprised of a container 12 having smooth interior walls wherein the product is in sliding contact with the walls and is advanceable and retractable in the container. Although the stick is not disclosed as being formed from two different compositions, attention is directed to the Prince reference, which discloses another lipstick product wherein the product is formed from a first composition 14 and a second composition 11 which differ in color to form a predetermined multi-color image in order to enable a user to easily apply two different colors of lipstick. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include such a two-composition lip-stick into the Tibbitts container in order to enable a user to easily apply a lipstick having two different colors. In regard to claim 2, the interior and exterior surfaces of the Tibbitts container are different. In regard to claim 6, the image is a circle. In regard to claim 7, each cross-section of the stick contains the same image. In regard to claim 8, the stick is used for application. It is noted that the statements of intended use, i.e., for application to an animal, do not lend any patentable

Art Unit: 3751

structure to the claims. In regard to claim 9, the composition is a lip-stick. In regard to claim 10, the composition includes a pharmaceutically acceptable vehicle. In regard to claim 11, the composition comprises a colorant. In regard to claim 13, the Prince stick composition is disclosed as being any preparation to be applied to the surface of a human body for cleaning and conditioning the skin (column 2, lines 40-43). Accordingly, as lip balm is applied to human skin and used for conditioning the lip, the Prince reference anticipates the use of lip balm. In regard to claims 51 and 53, the surface area of the first composition 14 is substantially different than the surface area of the second composition 11. In regard to claims 52 and 54, the wall thickness of the first composition 14 is substantially different than the wall thickness of the second composition 11, i.e., at the top portion of the stick, the thickness of composition 14 is greater than the thickness of composition 11 as composition 11 narrows at the top (see Figure 2). Further, it is the Examiner's position that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the two compositions in the Prince reference can be designed to have any suitable thicknesses, depending on the needs and desires of the user, without effecting the overall operation of the device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Regarding new claims 51-54, the Applicant contends that the Prince reference does not teach the claimed wall thickness, however, as discussed above, Prince discloses such structure.

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30- 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW March 22, 2002